



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

## TRANSPORTATION DIVISION

<http://www.edcgov.us/DOT/>

**PLACERVILLE OFFICES:**

**MAIN OFFICE:**

2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667  
(530) 621-5900 / (530) 626-0387 Fax

**CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE:**

2441 Headington Road, Placerville, CA 95667  
(530) 642-4909 / (530) 642-0508 Fax

**LAKE TAHOE OFFICES:**

**ENGINEERING:**

924 B Emerald Bay Road, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
(530) 573-7900 / (530) 541-7049 Fax

**MAINTENANCE:**

1121 Shakori Drive, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
(530) 573-3180 / (530) 577-8402 Fax

**DATE:** June 14, 2013

**TO:** All Prospective Bidders

**SUBJECT:** **Response to Bidder's Inquiry No. 5**  
**Project # 66106 Green Valley Road/Silver Springs Parkway Intersection**  
**Project # 66107 Silver Springs Parkway Realignment Onsite Phase-2**  
**Project # 66114 Green Valley Road/Deer Valley Road Turn Lanes**  
**Joint Trench Composite Drawing for Silver Springs Unit #1**  
**PW 09-30470, CIP No. 760107 &76114**

ITEM NO.	LOCATION, PAGE OR DRAWING NO.	QUESTION/ANSWER
5.01	66107 Plan sheets 9 through 12, 14 &15 Draft Agreement C-10 and Proposal P-5	<b>Question:</b> There are several double type GO inlets to be installed but there is no bid item for them. <b>Answer:</b> See Addendum No. 3.
5.02	66017 Plan Sheets 9 through 14 Draft Agreement C-11 and Proposal P-6	<b>Question:</b> For the above referenced project, there are several fire hydrants to be installed but there is no bid item for them. <b>Answer:</b> See Bid item #131 and Addendum No. 3.
5.03	Joint Trench Plans, Section 10-1.60 "Joint Trench" of the special provisions, Draft Agreement C-10 and Proposal P-5	<b>Question:</b> I am attempting to bid the joint trench item as a sub. The plans appear to have been completely changed by hand eliminating all of the PG&E work. Unfortunately, there is a unit price for item "106 - Joint Trench 1181 lf" rather than the various components required to complete the job. I presume this item is to include all of the trenching, conduit, & pullboxes on the plans (less anything that has been eliminated by crossing out by hand). Also, the total of the trench sections = 1116 lf (on sheet 2 of 10). Then, there are partial plans in the back of the plans requiring gas and electric in the intersections. There are still quite a few large PG&E substructures that have not been

			crossed off of the plans (#7 boxes, pads, etc.) that would appear to be unnecessary considering that the conduit has been eliminated. Please clarify the scope of work to be done in item 106.  <b>Answer:</b> See future Addendum.
5.04	66106 Sheet 8 66107 Plan 9 Sheets through 15	Plan and Plan	<b>Question:</b> 1) The waterline plans call for "High Deflection Couplings". The High Deflection Couplings are not necessary, because there are no deflections on the plan that exceed the angle allowed for standard pipe "bell" joints. 2) The plans show 2" blow-off, while EID standards require 4" BO on mains 10" and larger.  <b>Answer:</b> 1) High Deflection (HD) Couplings for the 12-inch waterline shall be provided in the quantity indicated on the drawings based on the stationing noted and 20 foot pipe lengths. Based on conditions encountered in the field, EID may direct the Contractor to install them at different locations then noted on the drawings. Also see Addendum No 3. 2) See Addendum No. 3.

Holders who have already mailed their proposal can contact Janel Gifford at (email: [janel.gifford@edcgov.us](mailto:janel.gifford@edcgov.us)) to arrange return of their proposal.

Inform all suppliers and subcontractors as necessary.

The DOT is only sending this Response to Bidders' Inquiries by posting on the following website: <http://www.edcgov.us/Government/DOT/Bids.aspx>.

**Responses to bidder inquiries, unless incorporated into formal addenda to the contract, are not a part of the contract, and are provided for the bidder's convenience only. In some instances, the question and answer may represent a summary of the matters discussed rather than a word-for-word recitation. The availability or use of information provided in the responses to bidder inquiries is not to be construed in any way as a waiver of the provisions of Section 2-1.03, "Examination of Plans, Specifications, Contract, and Site of Work," of the Standard Specifications or any other provision of the contract, the plans, Standard Specifications, or special provisions, nor to excuse the contractor from full compliance with those contract requirements. Bidders are cautioned that subsequent responses or contract addenda may affect or vary a response previously given.**

Sincerely,

Janel Gifford, P.E.  
 Senior Civil Engineer  
 Office Engineer