



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

## TRANSPORTATION DIVISION

<http://www.edcgov.us/DOT/>

**PLACERVILLE OFFICES:**

**MAIN OFFICE:**  
2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667  
(530) 621-5900 / (530) 626-0387 Fax

**CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE:**  
2441 Headington Road, Placerville, CA 95667  
(530) 642-4909 / (530) 642-0508 Fax

**LAKE TAHOE OFFICES:**

**ENGINEERING:**  
924 B Emerald Bay Road, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
(530) 573-7900 / (530) 541-7049 Fax

**MAINTENANCE:**  
1121 Shakori Drive, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
(530) 573-3180 / (530) 577-8402 Fax

**DATE:** June 24, 2013

**TO:** All Prospective Bidders

**SUBJECT:** **Response to Bidder's Inquiry No. 6**  
**Project # 66106 Green Valley Road/Silver Springs Parkway Intersection**  
**Project # 66107 Silver Springs Parkway Realignment Onsite Phase-2**  
**Project # 66114 Green Valley Road/Deer Valley Road Turn Lanes**  
**Joint Trench Composite Drawing for Silver Springs Unit #1**  
**PW 09-30470, CIP No. 76107 &76114**

ITEM NO.	LOCATION, PAGE OR DRAWING NO.	QUESTION/ANSWER
6.01	SP -218 and Sp-219	<p><b>Question:</b> I have a question on item #57, Erosion Control (Type D). In the project specifications pages SP-218 and SP-219 under "Application" There is 2.3 Cubic Yards/acre of compost that must be applied first along with the hydroseeding and then again after the straw application with the stabilizing mixture. Can we apply the total compost at 4.6 Cubic yards/acre before the hydroseeding operation?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> See <b>Section 10-1.43 "Erosion "Control (Type D)"</b>, page SP-218, Application item #2 of the special provisions.</p>
6.02	SP-195 and County Website-Supplemental Project Info	<p><b>Question:</b> Please reference SP-195 paragraphs 5 and 6. (1) Are the R-value requirements in this section applicable if we use the on-site imported borrow? (2) Who is responsible for the R-value testing? And what if the native soil does not meet the require R-values?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> (1) Yes, (2) County of El Dorado, (3) See "Supplemental Project Information: Silver Springs Residential Development – Supplemental Pavement Design Information". This document is posted on our website at <a href="http://www.edcgov.us/Government/DOT/Bids.aspx">http://www.edcgov.us/Government/DOT/Bids.aspx</a></p>

6.03	Plan # 66106 sheets 3, 5, 6 & 8; Plan # 66107 sheets 5, 7, 9 to 13; C-11, P-7, SP-246	<p><b>Question:</b> I have two bidder's inquires for the El Dorado Co. DOT project #66106/#66107/#66114 Green Valley Road/Silver Springs Parkway Intersection:</p> <p>(1) How will the Type A1-6 curb shown in the Median Paving Section detail on sheet 7 of 25 project #66107 be paid? It does not appear that there is sufficient quantity in item #113 or #114 to account for the A1-6 curb.</p> <p>(2) Project plans call for Stamped Colored Concrete Paving to be C-31 Shadow Slate color however project specs under section 10-1.63 calls for Stamped Colored Concrete Paving to be red brick color. What is the correct color for Stamped Colored Concrete Paving?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> See Addendum No. 4.</p>
6.04	Addendum No. 1 Item 1.05	<p><b>Question:</b> Please clarify the insurance questions below from our insurance provider: "Add the following sentence to the end of item 2 of Section 7-1.01 'General Insurance Requirements': 'A Four Million (\$4,000,000) general aggregate limit shall apply separately for the Work under this Contract.'"</p> <p>Is the County just requiring a higher aggregate limit of \$4,000,000 in lieu of \$2,000,000? We can provide those limits including your Excess Liability limits.</p> <p>-Or, is the County looking for a standard per project aggregate of \$4,000,000?</p> <p>-Or, is the County requiring a per project aggregate of \$4,000,000 for completed operations? I've never seen this. Per project aggregates only apply to on going operations not completed operations. The wording "for the work" leads us to believe they are looking for per project limits for ongoing and completed operations.</p> <p>-Please clarify, it may just be the way this section is worded that leads us down some other avenues from an insurance perspective. They very well may just be looking for a higher limit of \$4,000,000 which can be provided easily with your Excess Liability policy.</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> Our intention is that the \$4 million is the maximum amount that will be paid out in any one policy year regardless of the number of claims.</p>
6.05	Plan # 66106 sheets 3 & 6; Plan # 66114 sheet 4; C-9, and P-4	<p><b>Question:</b> (1) On the plans I find 295 SF of Keystone wall (Item 52) but the bid quantity is 195 SF. (2) Also what walls receive the structure exc and backfill (items 50 and 51)? If the Keystone wall Quantity is wrong then these quantities may as well be wrong.</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> See Addendum No. 4.</p>

6.06	Joint Trench Composite Drawing Silver Springs Unit #1 Plans	<p><b>Questions:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are there any revised joint trench utility plan sheets that will be issued prior to the bid opening? Please direct.</li> <li>2. Sheet 2 and 3 of 10, location 58 of the Dry Utility Plans – are we to install all the conduits? Sheet 3 looks like the utilities to be installed have been deleted? Please direct.</li> <li>3. Sheet 2 of 10, location 4 of the Dry Utility Plans - what is going to be crossing at this location i.e. gas, electrical...</li> </ol> <p><b>Answers:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. See Addendum No. 4.</li> <li>2. No installations are required at location 58.</li> <li>3. Telephone, CATV, gas, and electrical (See Addendum No 4).</li> </ol>
6.07	66107 Plans	<p><b>Question:</b> Regarding the El Dorado Co. DOT project #66107. Will the county allow exploratory potholing at the project site prior to bid day?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> The County will allow exploratory potholing along the Silver Springs Parkway Realignment (66107) upon obtaining an Encroachment Permit from the County.</p>
6.08	Joint Trench Composite Drawing Silver Springs Unit #1 Plans	<p><b>Question:</b> The joint trench composite drawing does not agree with the power and gas sheets. Also, the power sheets show intersections by street name, but there is no way to reference back to the joint trench sheet.</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> See Addendum No. 4.</p>
6.09	66106 Plan sheets 10 & 11, 66114 Plan sheets 8, 9, & 10, SPL-2, SP-183, C-8 and P-3	<p><b>Question:</b> Please clarify bid item 22.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Does the K-rail need to be pinned to the Asphalt Roadway?</li> <li>2) K-rail per the state standards is paid each time it is moved. Should the quantity be 4,980, or does the method of payment need to be addressed.</li> </ol> <p><b>Answer:</b> See Addendum No. 4.</p>
6.10	66016, 66107, and 66114 Plans and SP-234 through SP-243	<p><b>Questions:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Project #66106: On plan sheet 16, the totals for sewer and water utilities do not match the plan / profile views shown (including differences between plan / profile views themselves). Please clarify.</li> <li>2. Project #66106: Are guard posts / bollards required for any of the new fire hydrant installations? If so, please provide a detail / quantity required for each.</li> <li>3. Project #66106: On plan sheet 14 (Driveway "A" profile), there is a 20 LF section of 12" water line that references Detail #W07. I could not find this detail, please provide. Is there any difference to the pipe besides changing it to Class 200 (Bid Item #92)?</li> <li>4. Project #66114: Plan sheet 5 shows drain laterals on Deer Valley Road (south of Green Valley Road – not the 2 each 12" CSP downdrains coming off of Green Valley Road specifically). Note #10 references sheet 6 for the lateral details. The laterals shown there in the profile are for the 2 drain runs on Green Valley Road, not Deer Valley Road. Also, the additional downdrain quantity for Deer Valley Road does not appear to be included in the overall bid item quantities. Please confirm whether these drains are required as part of this project, and that the bid item quantity is correct.</li> <li>5. Bid item descriptions for utilities mention "unstable subgrade" as included in the pricing. There is no way to confirm this now. Please confirm that any unsuitable subgrade found during utility installation will be treated</li> </ol>

		as a differing site condition, as typical.  <b>Answers:</b> 1) There is no sewer and water work on sheet 16 of the 66106 Plans. 2) There are no fire hydrants to be installed as part of 66106, only 66107 and no guard posts/ bollards have been specified. 3) See Addendum No.4 4) See Addendum No. 4 related to Note 10 on sheet 5 of 66106. Note 5 on sheet 6 of 66106 Plans indicates that the drain laterals are AC overside drains per Caltrans Standard Plan D87D.
--	--	--

Holders who have already mailed their proposal can contact Janel Gifford at (email: [janel.gifford@edcgov.us](mailto:janel.gifford@edcgov.us)) to arrange return of their proposal.

Inform all suppliers and subcontractors as necessary.

The DOT is only sending this Response to Bidders' Inquiries by posting on the following website: <http://www.edcgov.us/Government/DOT/Bids.aspx>.

**Responses to bidder inquiries, unless incorporated into formal addenda to the contract, are not a part of the contract, and are provided for the bidder's convenience only. In some instances, the question and answer may represent a summary of the matters discussed rather than a word-for-word recitation. The availability or use of information provided in the responses to bidder inquiries is not to be construed in any way as a waiver of the provisions of Section 2-1.03, "Examination of Plans, Specifications, Contract, and Site of Work," of the Standard Specifications or any other provision of the contract, the plans, Standard Specifications, or special provisions, nor to excuse the contractor from full compliance with those contract requirements. Bidders are cautioned that subsequent responses or contract addenda may affect or vary a response previously given.**

Sincerely,



Janel Gifford, P.E.  
Senior Civil Engineer  
Office Engineer